BX D

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 1

1   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

           FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

2   HOUSTON DIVISION

3

   IN RE: ALTA MESA   §

4 RESOURCES, INC.   §   CASE NO. 4:19-cv-00957

   SECURITIES LITIGATION   §

5

6   ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM GUTERMUTH

7   MAY 9, 2023

8

9   ** CONFIDENTIAL **

10

11   ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM

12 GUTERMUTH, produced as a witness at the instance of the

13 Plaintiffs and duly sworn, was taken in the above styled

14 and numbered cause on Tuesday, May 9, 2023, from

15 10:07 a.m. to 6:31 p.m., before Janalyn Elkins, CSR, in

16 and for the State of Texas, reported by computerized

17 stenotype machine, viz Zoom, pursuant to the Federal

18 Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions stated on

19 the record herein.

20

21

22

23

24

25

[PAGES INTENTIONALLY OMITTED]

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 80

1   Mr. Coats, less disclosure in board minutes, in SRun II

2   board minutes?

3   MS. WALLER: Objection, form.

4   THE WITNESS: Not as a general proposition

5   or not with respect to most minutes.   I thought that

6   these minutes were more detailed than they typically

7   were for SR2 minutes and probably more detailed than

8   public company board minutes typically are.

9   For instance, the board minutes, the

10   redacted part, but it referred to who asked what

11   question.   Board minutes typically do not do that.

12   You've asked me a couple of times, did I recall asking

13   any questions.   My questions are not reflected in the

14   minutes, but I regularly ask questions at every board

15   meeting and every conference call.   And this is the only

16   one in which they are -- appear to have minuted, which

17   is a departure from typical practice, which is why I

18   advised that probably following prior practice and

19   regular practice was a better idea.

20   Q.   (BY MS. FOX)   And so your commentary was solely

21   about the draft minutes from August 14, the August 14,

22   2017 meeting?

23   A.   Yes.

24   MS. WALLER: Objection to form.

25   Q.   (BY MS. FOX)   Do you recall that the proposed

[PAGES INTENTIONALLY OMITTED]